

# United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/814,212	03/31/2004	Daoqiang Lu	INTEL8 (P17774)	6013	
6980	7590 08/12/2005		EXAMINER		
TROUTM	TROUTMAN SANDERS LLP			CLARK, JASMINE JHIHAN B	
	AMERICA PLAZA, SUIT TREE STREET , NE	ГЕ 5200	ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER		
	GA 30308-2216		2815	-	

DATE MAILED: 08/12/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

			H4
	Application No.	Applicant(s)	• • •
	10/814,212	LU, DAOQIANG	
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Jasmine J. Clark	2815	
The MAILING DATE of this communication Period for Reply	on appears on the cover sheet w	th the correspondence address	
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR F THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICAT  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 ( after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communicat  - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days  - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory  - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ION.  CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a incomplete.  Solution, a reply within the statutory minimum of thin period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MON statute, cause the application to become Alexandre.	reply be timely filed  by (30) days will be considered timely.  ITHS from the mailing date of this community  ANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	cation.
Status			
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on	14 July 2005.		
• •	This action is non-final.		
3) Since this application is in condition for a	llowance except for formal mat	ers, prosecution as to the mer	its is
closed in accordance with the practice un	nder <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D	). 11, 453 O.G. 213.	
Disposition of Claims			
4)	thdrawn from consideration. rejected. re objected to.		
Application Papers			
9) The specification is objected to by the Ex	aminer.		
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)	accepted or b) objected to	by the Examiner.	
Applicant may not request that any objection	to the drawing(s) be held in abeya	nce. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).	
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the			
11)☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by	the Examiner. Note the attache	d Office Action or form PTO-15	i2.
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119			
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for for a) All b) Some * c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority docu 2. Certified copies of the priority docu 3. Copies of the certified copies of the application from the International E * See the attached detailed Office action for	uments have been received.  uments have been received in A e priority documents have been Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	application No received in this National Stag	e
Attachment(s)	_		
<ol> <li>Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)</li> <li>Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-9</li> </ol>	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	Summary (PTO-413) s)/Mail Date	
<ul> <li>2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-9</li> <li>3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/Paper No(s)/Mail Date</li> </ul>	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	nformal Patent Application (PTO-152)	

#### **DETAILED ACTION**

1. The indicated allowability of claims 2,4-6,8,9,11,12,14-21, and 24-26 is withdrawn in view of the newly discovered reference(s) to Vrtis et al. (US 6,751,099 B2). Rejections based on the newly cited reference(s) follow.

### Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 2. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
  - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 1-3, 7,10,11,13, 22, and 25 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Vrtis et al. (US 6,751,099 B2) in view of Wang et al. (US 6,909,176 B1).

Vrtis discloses a device comprising a heat spreader 30 (see column 1, line 55, and see Fig. 2); a semiconductor die 15; and a thermal liquid phase (TIM) 45 of SnBi (see column 3, line 4) disposed between the heat spreader 30 and the die, whereas the metallurgies, such as SnBi is capable of forming a transient liquid phase bond such that the metal form an eutectic alloy. However, Vrtis fails to disclose that the die 15 is made of silicon. Wang teaches the use of silicon die where a thermal interface material 110 attached between the silicon die 102 and heat spreader 112 (see claim 1 for example).

Hence, it would have been obvious to employ the silicon chip/die, because it is widely used in a semiconductor industry.

Art Unit: 2815

Concerning claim 7, wherein the heat spreader comprises a metal selected from the group consisting of, for example copper, please see claim 2 of Vrtis (US 6,751,099 B2).

Concerning claims 10 and 11, wherein the TIM is comprised of a first metal selected from the group consisting of, for example: tin (Sn) and a second metal is, eg., bismuth Bi, please see the above discussion.

Concerning claim 13, A process comprising:

attaching a silicon die to a substrate; depositing a thermal interface material on at least one of the silicon die and a heat spreader, and attaching the heat spreader to the silicon die, wherein the thermal interface material comprises a plurality of metals capable of forming a transient liquid phase bond, the bond being a eutectic alloy comprising the metals, please see the above discussion under claims 1-3, 7, 10 and 11.

Concerning claim 22, An apparatus comprising: a heat spreader; a substrate; a silicon die attached to the substrate; and a bonding material that bonds the heat spreader to the silicon die; wherein the bonding material comprises metal capable of forming a transient liquid phase bond, (please see the above discussion).

Initially, and with respect to claim 22 "wherein the bonding material is formed at a temperature lower than the melting point of the metals."; note that a "product by process" claim is directed to the product per se, no matter how actually made. See *In re Thorpe et al.*, 227 USPQ 964 (CAFC, 1985) and the related case law cited therein which make it clear that it is the final product per se which must be determined in a "product by process" claim, and not the patentability of the process, and that, as here,

Application/Control Number: 10/814,212

Art Unit: 2815

an old or obvious product produced by a new method is not patentable as a product, whether claimed in "product by process" claims or not. Note that the Applicants have burden of proof in such cases as the above case law makes clear.

Concerning claim 25, wherein the bonding material comprises tin(Sn) and bismuth (Bi), please see the above discussion.

## Allowable Subject Matter

3. Claims 5, 6, 8, 9,12, 14-20, 24, and 26 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

The applied reference fails to teach and/or suggest the limitations as set forth in claims 5, 6, 8, 9, 12, 14-20, 24 and 26.

4. Claims 27-35 are allowed.

The following is an examiner's statement of reasons for allowance: the applied reference fails to teach and/or suggest, for example, bonding the heat spreader to the silicon die, wherein the first and second metals form a transient liquid phase bond when heated for a predetermined time at a predetermined bonding temperature, wherein the bonding temperature is less than the melting temperature of the first and second metals.

Any comments considered necessary by applicant must be submitted no later than the payment of the issue fee and, to avoid processing delays, should preferably Application/Control Number: 10/814,212 Page 5

Art Unit: 2815

accompany the issue fee. Such submissions should be clearly labeled "Comments on Statement of Reasons for Allowance."

## Telephone Inquiry Contacts

5. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Jasmine J. Clark whose telephone number is (571) 272-1726. The examiner can normally be reached on Flex.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Tom Thomas can be reached on (571) 272-1664. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Jjbc/07/25/05

JASMINE CLARK
PRIMARY EXAMINER

Javamingbe